EXTREME HUMAN BEHAVIOR AND ESCALATION DYNAMICS: TOWARD A GENERAL THEORY OF BEHAVIORAL ESCALATION WITHIN THE LATENT DYNAMICS MODEL

Sergio Pommier Gallo, PhD

ORCID: 0009-0000-7387-3943

ORCID Profile: https://orcid.org/0009-0000-7387-3943

Web of Science ResearcherID: QDO-1840-2026

Web of Science Research Profile:
https://www.webofscience.com/wos/author/record/QDO-1840-2026

Academic Blog: https://sergiopommiergallophd.blogspot.com

Abstract

This paper examines extreme human behavior through the analytical framework of the Latent Dynamics Model (LDM). Traditional criminological explanations frequently attribute extreme criminality to isolated variables such as psychopathology, antisocial personality traits, social deprivation, ideological radicalization, neurological abnormalities, or environmental influences. Although such approaches contribute valuable insights, they often fail to explain how individuals progressively evolve from relatively ordinary forms of deviant behavior toward increasingly severe manifestations of criminal conduct. This study proposes a General Theory of Behavioral Escalation derived from the Latent Dynamics Model. The theory argues that extreme criminality emerges through dynamic interactions among neurocognitive predispositions, environmental pressures, reinforcement mechanisms, adaptive learning processes, opportunity structures, and behavioral feedback systems. Through qualitative doctrinal analysis integrating criminology, forensic psychology, neurocriminology, behavioral science, and criminal law theory, the paper develops a five-stage escalation framework capable of explaining the emergence, stabilization, and amplification of harmful conduct. The findings suggest that extreme criminal behavior frequently represents the endpoint of prolonged escalation trajectories rather than the product of sudden

transformation. The study concludes that the Latent Dynamics Model provides a comprehensive framework for understanding behavioral intensification, criminal persistence, and the development of extreme forms of offending within contemporary criminogenic environments.

Keywords: extreme criminality; behavioral escalation; criminology; forensic psychology; violence; adaptive systems; neurocriminology; criminal responsibility; behavioral dynamics; Latent Dynamics Model.

1. Introduction

Extreme human behavior occupies a central position within criminology, forensic psychology, criminal law, and behavioral science. While most criminal conduct remains relatively limited in severity and impact, certain forms of offending display extraordinary levels of violence, cruelty, organization, persistence, or destructive capacity. Serial homicide, mass violence, terrorism, organized criminal predation, cyber-enabled exploitation, and transnational criminal enterprises illustrate behavioral patterns that appear qualitatively different from ordinary criminal activity.

Traditional criminological theories have produced valuable explanations regarding criminal conduct. Biological theories emphasize genetic and neurological influences. Psychological approaches focus on personality structures, emotional regulation, cognitive distortions, and psychopathology. Sociological theories examine social learning, environmental pressures, structural inequalities, and opportunity structures. Criminal law generally concentrates upon responsibility, culpability, and intentionality.

Despite these contributions, a significant explanatory challenge remains. Why do some individuals progressively escalate toward increasingly harmful forms of behavior while others exposed to similar conditions do not? Existing theories often identify risk factors but provide less comprehensive explanations regarding the mechanisms through which behavioral intensity increases over time.

This paper argues that extreme criminality should not be understood as a static category of conduct but rather as the outcome of dynamic escalation processes occurring within adaptive behavioral systems. The Latent Dynamics Model provides a framework

capable of explaining how behavioral trajectories evolve through continuous interactions among multiple influencing variables.

Research Question

To what extent can extreme criminal behavior be explained as a dynamic process of behavioral escalation emerging from interactions among neurocognitive, environmental, social, and adaptive variables?

Hypothesis (H1)

Extreme criminal behavior emerges through progressive escalation processes generated by dynamic interactions among neurocognitive predispositions, environmental pressures, reinforcement mechanisms, adaptive learning systems, opportunity structures, and behavioral feedback loops, resulting in increasing levels of intensity, persistence, sophistication, and harmful impact.

2. Theoretical Framework

The Latent Dynamics Model serves as the theoretical foundation of this study.

Traditional criminological explanations frequently adopt linear approaches to causation. Criminal behavior is attributed to discrete factors such as poverty, personality disorders, neurological dysfunction, peer influence, ideological commitment, or environmental conditions. While each factor may contribute to criminal conduct, none independently explains the complexity of behavioral evolution.

The Latent Dynamics Model rejects monocausal interpretations and conceptualizes criminal behavior as the emergent product of continuous interactions among multiple variables operating simultaneously across biological, psychological, social, environmental, and technological dimensions.

Within this framework, escalation is defined as the progressive amplification of behavioral intensity through adaptive interactions among internal and external influences. Escalation does not occur instantaneously. Instead, it develops through cumulative processes involving reinforcement, learning, opportunity recognition, environmental adaptation, cognitive restructuring, and behavioral optimization.

The model identifies five principal dimensions contributing to escalation dynamics:

• Neurocognitive Predispositions

• Environmental Reinforcement

• Opportunity Structures

• Adaptive Learning Processes

• Dynamic Feedback Systems

Extreme criminality therefore represents neither a personality type nor a diagnostic category. Rather, it constitutes an emergent condition arising when escalation processes become sufficiently reinforced to produce persistent patterns of highly harmful behavior.

3. Methodology

This study employs qualitative doctrinal analysis supported by contemporary criminological, forensic psychological, neurocriminological, and behavioral science literature.

Primary materials examined include:

• criminological theory

• forensic psychology research

• neurocriminology literature

• behavioral science studies

• criminal responsibility theory

• violence escalation research

• risk assessment frameworks

• adaptive systems theory

Analytical variables include:

• behavioral escalation

• criminal adaptation

• reinforcement mechanisms

• environmental influences

• opportunity structures

• criminal persistence

• violence intensity

• behavioral feedback systems

The methodological objective is to develop a theoretical framework capable of explaining how extreme criminal behavior emerges through progressive escalation rather than isolated causation.

4. Results

The analysis identifies five principal findings.

4.1 Extreme Criminality Rarely Emerges Suddenly

The findings indicate that most forms of extreme criminal behavior develop progressively through cumulative behavioral processes. Individuals rarely transition directly from lawful conduct to highly destructive criminality. Instead, escalation typically involves intermediate stages characterized by increasing experimentation, reinforcement, adaptation, and normalization.

4.2 Reinforcement Mechanisms Drive Escalation

Behavioral reinforcement constitutes one of the most significant drivers of escalation. Successful criminal outcomes frequently increase the probability of future offending by strengthening perceptions of effectiveness, control, reward, and behavioral legitimacy. Material gain, social status, emotional gratification, ideological validation, and perceived power may all function as reinforcement mechanisms.

4.3 Criminal Adaptation Increases Behavioral Sophistication

The evidence demonstrates that offenders frequently learn from both success and failure. Repeated exposure to criminal opportunities encourages adaptation, allowing offenders to refine techniques, improve operational security, reduce detection risks, and increase behavioral efficiency. This adaptive process contributes significantly to escalation trajectories.

4.4 Environmental Systems Shape Escalation Trajectories

Escalation does not occur in isolation. Environmental conditions including peer groups, criminal organizations, digital communities, ideological networks, and social contexts frequently influence behavioral development. Certain environments normalize increasingly harmful conduct while simultaneously reducing external constraints.

4.5 Extreme Criminality Represents the Final Stage of Behavioral Escalation

The findings support the proposition that extreme criminal behavior frequently constitutes the endpoint of prolonged escalation processes. Rather than representing a distinct offender category, extreme criminality emerges when reinforcement, adaptation, opportunity, and environmental influences converge over time.

5. General Theory of Behavioral Escalation

The General Theory of Behavioral Escalation proposes five developmental stages.

Level I: Experimental Escalation

The first stage involves exploratory forms of deviant or antisocial behavior. Individuals test behavioral boundaries, evaluate risks, and experiment with actions that violate social norms or legal rules. Behavioral patterns remain unstable and highly reversible.

Level II: Reinforced Escalation

Behavior becomes reinforced through perceived rewards. Successful outcomes increase the probability of future repetition. Cognitive rationalizations begin to emerge, reducing internal resistance to misconduct.

Level III: Adaptive Escalation

Individuals progressively refine behavioral strategies. Learning mechanisms allow offenders to identify effective methods, avoid detection, improve efficiency, and maximize desired outcomes. Criminal conduct becomes increasingly organized and goal-oriented.

Level IV: Systemic Escalation

Behavior becomes integrated into broader systems of interaction. Criminal identity becomes increasingly stable. Offenders may become embedded within criminal networks, extremist organizations, predatory environments, or persistent deviant subcultures.

Level V: Extreme Escalation

The final stage involves the emergence of highly destructive behavioral systems. Violence, predation, exploitation, coercion, or criminal sophistication reach exceptional levels. Behavior becomes resistant to conventional deterrence mechanisms and may generate self-sustaining cycles of criminal activity.

6. Discussion

The findings support the hypothesis that extreme criminal behavior emerges through dynamic escalation processes rather than isolated causal factors.

Traditional criminological theories have frequently emphasized individual variables such as pathology, social disadvantage, personality traits, or biological influences. While these factors remain important, they often fail to explain the mechanisms through which behavior progressively intensifies.

The Latent Dynamics Model addresses this limitation by conceptualizing escalation as a dynamic interaction among multiple behavioral influences. Importantly, the model does not assume that escalation is inevitable. Individuals exposed to similar environmental conditions may experience significantly different developmental trajectories depending upon protective factors, social controls, cognitive regulation, institutional interventions, and personal decision-making processes.

The model therefore rejects deterministic interpretations of criminal behavior. Instead, it proposes that escalation reflects changing probabilities generated by interactions among dynamic variables.

This perspective has important implications for criminal justice systems. Risk assessments may benefit from focusing on escalation trajectories rather than static offender characteristics. Preventive interventions may achieve greater effectiveness when targeting reinforcement mechanisms before escalation becomes entrenched. The framework may also assist forensic practitioners in identifying behavioral warning signs associated with increasing criminal severity.

The theory additionally contributes to emerging discussions within digital criminology. Contemporary technological environments create new opportunities for reinforcement, adaptation, and criminal learning. Online ecosystems may accelerate escalation by facilitating access to criminal knowledge, ideological communities, operational techniques, and opportunities for behavioral experimentation.

7. Conclusions

Extreme human behavior represents one of the most significant challenges confronting contemporary criminology.

Traditional explanations frequently focus upon isolated risk factors while overlooking the dynamic processes through which criminal behavior evolves. This paper argues that extreme criminality should be understood as the outcome of progressive behavioral escalation occurring within adaptive behavioral systems.

The General Theory of Behavioral Escalation developed through the Latent Dynamics Model conceptualizes escalation as the product of interactions among neurocognitive

predispositions, environmental pressures, reinforcement mechanisms, adaptive learning processes, opportunity structures, and feedback systems.

The findings suggest that extreme criminality frequently emerges through identifiable developmental pathways rather than sudden behavioral transformation. Understanding these pathways may improve forensic assessment, violence prevention, criminal responsibility analysis, and criminological theory development.

Future research should continue examining escalation processes across diverse forms of criminal behavior, including violent offending, organized crime, cybercrime, terrorism, and transnational criminal networks.

By focusing upon behavioral evolution rather than static offender characteristics, the Latent Dynamics Model provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the emergence of extreme criminal conduct within contemporary society.

References

Andrews, D. A., & Bonta, J. (2017). The Psychology of Criminal Conduct. Routledge.

Bandura, A. (1986). Social Foundations of Thought and Action: A Social Cognitive Theory. Prentice-Hall.

Clarke, R. V. (1997). Situational Crime Prevention: Successful Case Studies. Harrow and Heston.

Cornish, D. B., & Clarke, R. V. (2014). The Reasoning Criminal. Routledge.

Felson, M., & Boba, R. (2010). Crime and Everyday Life. Sage Publications.

Meloy, J. R. (2018). The Psychopathic Mind: Origins, Dynamics, and Treatment. Routledge.

Piquero, A. R. (2016). The Handbook of Criminological Theory. Wiley.

Raine, A. (2013). The Anatomy of Violence: The Biological Roots of Crime. Pantheon Books.

Samenow, S. E. (2014). Inside the Criminal Mind. Crown Publishing.

Walsh, A., & Beaver, K. M. (2009). Biosocial Criminology: New Directions in Theory and Research. Routledge.

Wikström, P. O. H. (2014). Why Crime Happens: A Situational Action Theory. Oxford University Press.

Zimbardo, P. (2007). The Lucifer Effect: Understanding How Good People Turn Evil. Random House.